

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,084	10/23/2001	Veijo V. Vanttinen	442-010623-US(PAR)	442-010623-US(PAR) 9396	
7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER			
Perman & Green			PATEL, JAY P		
425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430-6232			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/004,084	VANTTINEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jay P. Patel	2616		

	Lxaiiiilei	Ait oiiit				
	Jay P. Patel	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
	a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
	inliance with 27 CEB 41 27 must be	s filed within two mean	the of the date			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	·	•	•			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	, the issues for			
appeal; and/or		inatad alaima				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected ciaims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			L (DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	. (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>11</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
$\overline{}$						
19/						
	i	LASSAN KIZOU	NED			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment filed on 12/30/2005 doesn't place the application in condition of allowance therefore the final rejection in the office action mailed 8/16/2005 is still valid. The applicant argues that the base station controller claimed in the claims is not the same as a base station subsystem; however, Silver discloses that a tunneled message is forwarded to the base station system BSS, which includes a base station contoller (figure 4 and column 8, lines 31-34) and a message bearing location information data is transmitted by the packet-switched network to the circuit-switched network gateway (column 8, lines 32-35). Therefore, the examiner maintains that the BSS disclosed by Silver and its functionalities stated in the final rejection constitute the base station controller claimed by the applicant and since message exchange takes place between packet and circuit-switched elements, Silver also anticipates communication between packet switched and circuit switched networks. Therefore, the examiner still maintains that the final rejection is valid.

NOTE; During the time the advisory action mailed 01/09/2006 was sent, the examiner overlooked the fact that the reply filed 11/16/2005 was not signed and the reply filed 12/30/2005 was signed; therefore, the present advisory replaces the one sent on 01/09/2006..